Stress and memory: a systematic state-of-the-art review with evidence-gathering recommendations for police

Paula M. Di Nota

Office of Applied Research and Graduate Studies, Justice Institute of British Columbia, New Westminster, Canada and Psychology, University of Toronto–Mississauga, Mississauga, Canada Bryce E. Stoliker Forensic Behavioural Science and Justice Studies, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada Adam D. Vaughan School of Criminal Justice, Texas State University, San Marcos, Texas, USA Judith P. Andersen Psychology, University of Toronto–Mississauga, Mississauga, Canada, and Gregory S. Anderson Faculty of Science, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, Canada

Abstract

Purpose – The purpose of this study isto synthesize recent empirical research investigating memory of stressful critical incidents (both simulated and occurring in the field) among law enforcement officers. **Design/methodology/approach** – The study used the approach of systematic state-of-the-art review.

Findings – In total, 20 studies of police and military officers show reduced detail and accuracy of high-versus low-stress incidents, especially for peripheral versus target information. Decrements in memory performance were mediated by the extent of physiological stress responses. Delayed recall accuracy was improved among officers that engaged in immediate post-incident rehearsal, including independent debriefing or reviewing body-worn camera footage.

Research limitations/implications – Most studies were not found through systematic database searches, highlighting a need for broader indexing and/or open access publishing to make research more accessible. **Practical implications** – By understanding how stress physiology enhances or interferes with memory encoding, consolidation and recall, evidence-based practices surrounding post-incident evidence gathering are recommended. **Social implications** – The current review addresses common public misconceptions of enhanced cognitive performance among police relative to the average citizen.

© Paula M. Di Nota, Bryce E. Stoliker, Adam D. Vaughan, Judith P. Andersen and Gregory S. Anderson. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons. org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

The authors have no known conflicts of interest to declare. G. Anderson was Dean of Applied Research and Graduate Studies at the Justice Institute of British Columbia at the time of writing this paper. P. Di Nota's postdoctoral fellowship was funded by the Centre for the Prevention and Reduction of Violence at the Justice Institute of British Columbia.



Emerald Publishing Limited 1363-951X DOI 10.1108/PIJPSM-06-2020-0093

Received 15 June 2020 Revised 3 September 2020 Accepted 2 October 2020

Stress and

memory

PIJPSM Originality/value – The current work draws from scientific knowledge about the pervasive influence of stress physiology on memory to inform existing practices surrounding post-incident evidence gathering among police. Keywords Psychological stress, Critical incident memory, Encoding, Consolidation, Delayed recall, Body worn cameras Paper type Literature review

Introduction

Law enforcement officers are often expected to demonstrate a high level of cognitive performance, especially in relation to accurately recounting and reporting on work-related events. Indeed, researchers have reported that many members of the public believe police officers are more attentive to the events and persons in their environment and less susceptible to perceptual and memory biases of stressful events compared to the average citizen (Jones et al., 2018). These high expectations of law enforcement officers might not be realistic, as there is no evidence to support the notion that police officers' memory and cognitive abilities are any better (or worse) than that of other individuals (Hope, 2016). What is clear is that stress plays a major role in memory formation and retrieval (de Quervain et al., 2000; McGaugh, 2000; Brewin, 2003) and influences police cognition including learning, decisionmaking and performance (see Di Nota and Huhta, 2019). What remains unclear is the relationship between stress and officers' memory of critical incidents, which bear significant legal, professional and personal implications. Therefore, the current state-of-the-art review aims to synthesize recent literature investigating police memory of stressful incidents. Based on these findings, we provide pragmatic evidence-based recommendations for police administrators to develop, repeal and/or enhance existing policies and practices to improve the quality of post-incident evidence collection.

Background

Memory, stress and the brain

Memory is a complex cognitive process that involves various brain structures to *encode*, *consolidate* and *retrieve* information. As reviewed below, each of these stages of memory are influenced by automatic, subconscious physiological stress responses. Memories can be broadly categorized into explicit and implicit types, with the former comprising declarative memories of facts (semantic memories) and events (episodic memories) that can be consciously recalled, but which are also susceptible to bias and inaccuracy (Ness and Calabrese, 2016). Processed by the medial temporal lobe, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (PFC), declarative memories provide an internal representation of the external world that can flexibly guide future behaviours in similar but dynamic contexts (Brewin, 2003). Conversely, implicit non-declarative memories cannot be consciously recalled (i.e. knowledge or skills that one cannot remember learning, only that they "just know it"). As such, these memories are not subject to inaccuracy in the same way as declarative memories and are neither true nor false. Implicit memories can be further divided into several functional subtypes that are mediated by different areas of the brain: procedural memory of habitual automatic skills (e.g. using a firearm), perceptual/priming (e.g. likely outcome of a previously perceived stimulus or situation), conditioning (i.e. emotional or skeletal associations) and non-associative reflexes (Ness and Calabrese, 2016; Schwabe and Wolf, 2013).

Encoding

Initial learning, or *encoding*, results in a representational pattern of neuronal firing in the brain that contains information related to multiple types of memories defined above. For instance, a police officer's first patrol will elicit specific patterns of brain activity for both implicit and explicit information. With the introduction of stress and accompanying

physiological responses, blood flow to the extremities is reduced, resulting in a loss of dexterity, fine motor skills and a narrowing of attention to focus on the source of a threat (Chrousos, 2009). This narrowed focus includes heightened attention to visual detail (while ignoring near objects), auditory and visual distortions (e.g. reduced peripheral vision, depth or distance perception) and disruptions in the sense of time (Klinger and Brunson, 2009). Therefore, stress-induced perceptual distortions influence *what* is encoded into later memory. Evidence from animals and humans suggest that the extent of stress-induced arousal is proportional to the perception of the threat (Cahill and Alkire, 2003; Cahill and McGaugh, 1998). Accordingly, the strength of stress-induced autonomic nervous system (ANS) arousal drives encoding such that memory of a highly threatening event (e.g. an officer-involved shooting) is encoded in a manner that is proportional to its importance (i.e. to a greater extent than an uneventful citizen encounter) (Thayer and Sternberg, 2006).

Consolidation

With repeated practice or exposure, connections between brain cells become strengthened and stabilized (Song et al., 2000), known as consolidation. Information that is consolidated, such as the correct maneuvering of one's firearm, results in faster access to this stored information, as well as the ability to flexibly apply one's skills in a variety of situations. These capabilities are also hallmark characteristics of expertise (Ericsson, 1998), with trained individuals demonstrating faster reaction times and greater behavioural accuracy than novices whose experiences have not been consolidated (Maia, 2009). Evidence suggests that deep stage rapid eye movement (REM) sleep helps consolidate emotion- or value-laden memories (Genzel et al., 2015) but could also result in the consolidation of false memories among eyewitnesses (Payne et al., 2009; Loftus, 2005). A pressing question relevant to officer testimony is whether postincident rehearsal of event details increase accuracy of subsequent memories. Among police officers, consolidation may be influenced by reviewing footage captured by body-worn cameras (BWC), which may or may not capture the same information perceived by an officer at the time of the incident. Just as replaying or rehearsing the information in one's mind facilitates consolidation, so too would observe the details of an event. According to an established psychological phenomenon known as retrieval-induced forgetting (RIF, Anderson et al., 2000), improved memory for rehearsed information comes with the potential cost of forgetting unrehearsed information not captured in the footage (e.g. internal perceptions and level of perceived threat) but which directly inform in the moment decision-making.

Retrieval

The final stage of the memory process is *retrieval* or recall of information. Recall can be conscious or unconscious and accurate or inaccurate, depending on the type of memory being retrieved as noted above. Evidence from victims of repeated and prolonged domestic abuse suggests a decrease in the accuracy, consistency and details of any one event over time (van Golde et al., 2018). Similar to police officers, these inconsistencies may call into question the individuals' credibility and integrity. While investigations into stress and memory typically concern the recall of stressful events (i.e. memory encoded under stress), stress experienced *during* retrieval also bears relevance for police. During fight-or-flight, adrenal hormones stimulate the hindbrain to rapidly process information and power habitual, instinctive responses without the need for conscious thought, thereby maximizing survival (LeDoux and Pine, 2016). Blood vessels in the PFC are constricted, limiting sophisticated cognitive processes like critical thinking, inhibition of automatic behaviours and problem solving (Roos et al., 2017). Research using rodents have shown severe impairment in recall of spatial memory under stressful conditions and when cortisol is administered before retention testing (de Quervain et al., 1998). As such, skills and knowledge that have not been consolidated into long-term, habitual memories are not likely to be recalled during events that are perceived as

PIJPSM

highly threatening, including critical incidents as well as post-incident inquiries and court testimonies. The influence of stress on retrieval therefore underscores the importance of encoding and consolidating knowledge and skills into memory through repetition and training (Di Nota and Huhta, 2019).

The scientific evidence reviewed above reveal that physiological responses to stress experienced during all stages of memory processing will influence what is recalled. As such, the purpose of this state-of-the-art review is to summarize recent research investigating the influence of stress on memory among police and other law enforcement agents, including military personnel. We conclude with evidence-based recommendations for post-incident evidence collection procedures to promote and preserve as much accurate information from officers as possible.

Methods

Systematic literature review procedures followed Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Liberati *et al.*, 2009). Inclusion criteria for studies yielded by the literature search included experimental investigations of memory for stressful events (simulations or in-field) among law enforcement officers, including police and military personnel in order to capture the most relevant and available literature. Exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed studies (i.e. theses, dissertations, books, bulletins); studies where the population of interest was "eyewitness", bystander or non-law enforcement; studies including police or law enforcement officials diagnosed with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and studies with primary outcomes that were cognitive skills other than memory (e.g. visuomotor performance, attention, perceptual distortions), including assessments of working memory (i.e. immediate capacity to perceive, store, manipulate and process information to inform decision-making; Unsworth and Engle, 2007).

Information sources included two electronic databases that index journals in the cognitive, health and applied sciences: PsychINFO and ASSIA. Boolean searches were conducted in April 2020 with the following key terms: police, officer OR law enforcement AND stress AND memory OR encoding OR consolidation OR "memory retrieval" OR recall NOT witness. Limiters included English, human, peer-reviewed studies published in the last 20 years (1999-current). The electronic yield of records was supplemented for further eligible studies with hand searches of reference lists.

Results

The PRISMA selection of eligible studies for the current systematic review is summarized in Figure 1. A total of 969 records were identified, and 263 duplicates were removed, leaving 706 studies for title/abstract screening; 678 records were removed through title/abstract screening, leaving 28 studies for full-text review. A further 19 studies were excluded at the full-text stage for the following reasons: wrong study outcome measures or design (n = 10); wrong population (n = 4); investigations of officers diagnosed with PTSD (n = 3); outside of date limits (n = 2), and 11titles were included from searches of reference lists, highlighting limited accessibility of topical applied research within any one electronic database. The systematic review process resulted in 20 eligible studies and is summarized in Table 1.

Discussion

The following sections provide thematic summaries of the studies identified by the systematic review according to their direct investigation of memory performance among law enforcement and military officers.



Investigations on the effects of various stressors on encoding and consolidation

Physical stress: Strenuous physical exertion mimics physiological changes in response to psychological threat (Bertilsson *et al.*, 2019). When performed before a simulated critical incident, physical exertion reduced recall accuracy for scenario details, including recognition of target individuals and pre-exertion briefing information (Hope *et al.*, 2012). These findings show that physiological stress responses impair encoding of important information during an incident and consolidation of information provided before the onset of stress responses.

Occupational stress: Repeated exposures to work-related encounters that are potentially traumatic are well-documented among police and contribute to the development of mental disorder symptoms (Carleton *et al.*, 2020). Gutshall *et al.* (2017) hypothesized that non-critical, day-to-day occupational stress experienced over 10 days would result in decrements to visuospatial working memory. However, officers showed marginal improvements that may be attributed to significant study limitations, including a lack of demonstrable stress induction (i.e. non-significant self-reported stress), a lack of critical incidents during the study period, possible habituation or adaptation to the nature of calls at the agency or practice effects (i.e. recalling the same visuospatial figure three times at both baseline and follow-up assessments).

Stress-inducing scenarios: The majority of studies identified in the current review utilize stressful simulations. Decrements in performing the visuospatial task used by Gutshall *et al.*

PIJPSM	Results	Accuracy highest live shoot condition, those who rehearsed immediately post-exposure, and for	objects closest to the utreat Verbal commands and subject behaviours corrected in post-incident reports after reviewing DW/ for no memory of mission uncorrected	Dury lookage, errors or oninsuou uncorrected Marginal improvement in performance between baseline and follow-up assessment. Self-reported stress measures not significant, findings may be otherwishle to movies officets	Overall accuracy did not change over time, but delayed recuracy did not change over time, but viewed their BWC footage $(n = 11)$ compared to those thet field not $(n = -4)$	Compared to controls, less accurate recall of event details, pre-exertion briefing information, and recognition of critical and incidental target individuals	Conferring with colleagues increased confidence but not post-incident recall accuracy. Errors obtained from colleagues were not in final reports of those who worke immodiate indexedant reports	Active-role officers recalled significantly fewer correct details and more false memories, effect mediated by level of stress response, no between-	Ease information but greater accuracy for observed bigh-threat simulation, but physiological arousal was not significantly different batwaen conditions	Visual and auditory memories were best retained but declined by the final timepoint	(continued)
	Stress manipulation	High- (shoot) vs. low- (no-shoot) threat live and video simulations	3 UOF scenarios	10-days work cycle	UOF scenario	Physical activity before UOF scenario	UOF scenario	Active vs. observational role during UOF scenario	High- (shoot) vs. low- (no-shoot) threat video simulation	Responders to mass casualty event	
	Memory evaluation	Delayed recall (12 weeks), with or without rehearsal	Rehearsal	Visuospatial memory (1, 3, and 25-min recall periods)	Delayed recall (4–10 weeks), rehearsal	Encoding pre- and post- stress induction	Rehearsal	Immediate recall	Immediate recall	Delayed recall (10mons, 5 years, 9 years)	
	Sample	Police officers, $n = 194$	LEOs, $n = 11$	Police officers, $n = 25$	Police officers, $n = 15$	Police officers, $n = 52$	Authorized firearms officers, $n = 300$	Police officers, $n = 76$	Police officers, $n = 70$	Police officers, $n = 11$	
Table 1. Investigations of stress and memory among police, law enforcement officers (LEOs) and military personnel	Study	Beehr et al. (2004)	*Dawes <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Gutshall <i>et al.</i> (2017)	*Hartman <i>et al.</i> (2017)	*Hope <i>et al.</i> (2012)	*Hope <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Hope <i>et al.</i> (2016)	*Hulse and Memon (2006)	Karlsson and Christianson (2006)	

Study	Sample	Memory evaluation	Stress manipulation	Results
Lewinski <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Police officers, $n = 24$	Immediate recall, consolidation interference	UOF scenario	Officers' recalled movement path was significantly different from actual path of travel. Distractions presented during consolidation did not impact
*Meyerhoff <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Police trainees, sample size not provided	Immediate recall	UOF scenario	43% of participants accurately described their shot apreement 57% could identify when the situation
*McClure <i>et al.</i> (2020)	LEOs, $n = 151$	Immediate and delayed (48h) recall	3 virtual UOF scenarios	justified lethal force Perpetrator details recalled more than event details, inconsistent findings for recall and stress
*Morgan <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Military personnel, $n = 509$	Immediate recall	High- vs. low-threat POW exercise	Diomatikers between conditions Eyewitness memory of one's interrogator was poorer following high-threat exercise, but accuracy
*Morgan <i>et al.</i> (2006)	Special Operations military personnel, $n = 184$	Visuospatial memory (before, during, after exercise)	High-threat POW exercise	was ennanced for cued photographs Impaired visuospatial memory copy and recall performance during a stressful POW exercise, related to symptoms of dissociation and history of
Morgan <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Special Operations military personnel, $n = 53$	Delayed recall (48h)	High-threat POW exercise	traumatic stress Significant positive relationship between officers who made more true positive identifications on a standard facial memory task and delayed recall of
Page <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Police cadets, $n = 63$	Immediate recall	Psychological performance training group vs controls during	Training group remembered more items, especially those that engaged in tactical breathing, during the
Porter <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Police officers, $n = 87$	Delayed recall (48h), with or without rehearsal	UOF exercise UOF scenario	exerctse Improved delayed recall of peripheral details among rehearsal group. Delay did not impair recognition of threat-relevant details, and visual details were recognized better than spatial or auditory details
				(continued)
				Streem
Table 1.				ss and emory

PIJPSM		called more details o differences ers (active-role) and l-role) recalled more aario. Officers ess and correctly ormation than se in recall accuracy	was significantly	se cortisol was of immediate and	force
	Results	<i>Experiment 1</i> : Police officers re from the low-threat scenario, n between roles <i>Experiment 2</i> : Both police office citizen witnesses (observational details from the low-threat scen experienced higher levels of str recalled sightly more event inf critizens but no aroun difference	Increased post-exercise cortisol related to degraded memory pe	Extent of increased post-exerci- related to greater degradation of delayed recall	= prisoner of war; UOF = use of
	Stress manipulation	High- (shoot) vs. low- (no-shoot) threat and active vs. observational role during UOF scenario	High-threat POW exercise	High-threat POW exercise	r. BWC = body-worn camera; POW
	Memory evaluation	Immediate recall	Immediate visuospatial declarative memory	Immediate and delayed (24h) visuospatial declarative memory	systematic literature review
	Sample	<i>Experiment 1</i> : police officers, $n = 40$ <i>Experiment 2</i> : undergraduates $(n = 13)$; police officers $(n = 16)$	Special Forces candidates, $n = 27$	Special Forces candidates, n = 24	s that study was not yielded in
Table 1.	Study	Stanny and Johnson (2000)	*Taverniers <i>et al.</i> (2010)	*Taverniers <i>et al.</i> (2013)	Note(s): * Denote:

(2017) have been observed during (Morgan *et al.*, 2006), immediately following (Taverniers *et al.*, 2010), and 24 h following an intense prisoner of war (POW) interrogation exercise among special forces personnel (Taverniers *et al.*, 2013). These effects were further exacerbated by dissociative symptoms (Morgan *et al.*, 2006) and the extent of stress-induced cortisol concentrations (Taverniers *et al.*, 2010, 2013). Symptoms of dissociation, especially occurring during a traumatic event, disrupt encoding and accurate recall of such events (Koopman *et al.*, 1994). Together with scientific evidence reviewed above, these findings confirm that cortisol plays an important role in disrupting memory encoding and consolidation.

Investigation of police officers' spatial memory during a simulated lethal force scenario reveal significant differences in accuracy of recalled versus actual path of travel, even when provided with visual aids (Lewinski *et al.*, 2016). Together with Meyerhoff *et al.* (2004), these findings demonstrate compromised encoding of spatial information during stressful incidents, including physical area, position, distance, as well as shot placement and when the situation justified lethal force. Hartman *et al.* (2017) found that remembering more details of a high-stress simulation was at the cost of reduced accuracy, especially for verbal information. Roughly half of the officers tested noticed the suspect's hidden hand that eventually produced a weapon and fired at them and also noticed more location details and fewer verbal details.

High-versus low-threat conditions: The detail/accuracy memory trade-off is also supported by studies examining whether an officer assumes a presumably more stressful primary active responder role or a secondary support or observational role. Hope *et al.* (2016) showed no differences in accuracy but significantly fewer correct details and more false memories in active role officers, which were mediated by the extent of stress responsivity (i.e. heart rate). Several researchers attribute these memory impairments to an attentional "filtering process" during encoding, whereby officers filter out peripheral or unimportant information to focus limited attentional resources on critical threat- or target-related situational details (Lewinski *et al.*, 2016; see also Alpert *et al.*, 2012).

High- versus low-threat conditions are commonly experimentally manipulated by exposing officers to simulations that either require ("shoot") or do not require ("no shoot") a use of lethal force. Stanny and Johnson (2000) compared memory performance between groups of active and observational role police officers (Experiment 1) and citizen witnesses (Experiment 2), as well as "shoot" and "no-shoot" conditions. No significant between-group differences were observed for recall accuracy, but both officers and citizens recalled fewer details of the more stressful "shoot" scenario. Hulse and Memon (2006) also found that police officers remembered less information but with greater accuracy for observed shoot versus no-shoot simulations, consistent with the mechanistic filtering process of attending to (and therefore encoding) fewer details during a high-stress encounter.

High- and low-stress simulation conditions have also been used to assess facial recognition accuracy among military personnel, who show consistently better performance following low-stress POW exercises (Morgan *et al.*, 2004). While high-stress events may increase guanine-cytosine (GC) levels to an extent that disrupts encoding, relatively low-stress events can induce moderate levels of arousal that can facilitate encoding. This explanation is in line with the Yerkes and Dodson (1908) model, wherein the relationship between stress and cognitive performance (including memory) follows an inverted-U shape. Accordingly, several studies in this review provide evidence for mediating or correlational effects between memory impairment and the extent of physiological stress responses (Hope *et al.*, 2016; Taverniers *et al.*, 2010, 2013; see also Yuille *et al.*, 1994). This nuanced influence of stress can also provide a physiological stress responses are highly individual and one officer's threshold for maladaptive stress may differ from that of their colleague.

PIJPSM

Training-induced improvements to police memory. There is mounting evidence for improved cognitive performance, including lethal force decision-making and situational awareness, among police following training that integrates and educates about physiological stress responses at both immediate (Andersen and Gustafsberg, 2016; McCraty and Atkinson, 2012) and follow-up assessments (Andersen et al., 2018; Nieuwenhuys and Oudejans, 2011). Dynamic and occupationally-relevant training scenarios induce realistic physiological stress responses (Armstrong et al., 2014), facilitating encoding and consolidation of essential skills that will be robust to stress-induced retrieval interference during real-world encounters. The only study comparing post-training changes to memory performance identified by the current review was conducted by Page et al. (2016). Following a simulated pepper spray exercise, police cadets that had undertaken psychological performance training recalled more items placed in the exercise space compared to controls. Amount of information recalled was further improved among those who reported engaging in tactical breathing during the exercise. These findings contribute to the growing support for adaptive integration of stress into police training to improve learning outcomes, as well as broader cognitive and autonomic functioning that promote psychological resilience and wellness.

Investigations on the effects of rehearsal on consolidation and retrieval

Post-incident rehearsal can take several forms, including writing independent notes of one's account, (in)formal debriefing or reviewing footage including BWCs. Hope *et al.* (2013) found that conferring with colleagues increased confidence but not amount or accuracy of information recalled. However, false memories from colleagues were only incorporated into final reports of officers who did not complete initial independent accounts, consistent with previous evidence of encoding misinformation (Zhu *et al.*, 2012).

Three studies compared delayed recall accuracy between officers who did and did not rehearse information immediately after a simulated high-threat scenario and reveal inconsistent results. McClure *et al.* (2020) compared immediate and delayed recall accuracy within the same officers and between groups that performed three different virtual scenarios. Perpetrator details were recalled better than event details overall, but significant differences in recall accuracy following rehearsal were inconsistent across scenarios. Conversely, Porter *et al.* (2018) found improved accuracy for peripheral details at 48-h delayed recall, but no impact of rehearsal on accuracy for threat-relevant details. Beehr *et al.* (2004) found that delayed recall accuracy was highest among officers who were debriefed immediately after a live (versus video) high-threat (versus low-threat) simulation and for objects closest to the threat across conditions. Limitations of these studies include inconsistent follow-up durations, and rehearsal and simulation conditions.

Body-worn cameras: Without consideration of how stress physiology impacts each stage of memory, discrepancies between officer testimony and video evidence undoubtedly raises concerns on the officer's credibility and integrity. Only two studies yielded by the current systematic review investigated the effects of reviewing BWC footage on subsequent memory. Dawes *et al.* (2015) allowed officers to correct their post-incident notes after reviewing BWC and found that omission errors for important information including the presence of drugs, weapons or individuals were uncorrected. After BWC review, officers corrected details regarding verbal commands and subject behaviours. These *post hoc* corrections may reflect an officers' gaze (Heusler and Sutter, 2020). Hartman *et al.* (2017) found improved accuracy of delayed recall among officers that immediately reviewed their BWC footage compared to officers that did not. However, both studies had very small samples, follow-up durations varied between 4 and 10 weeks, and changes were not statistically significant (Hartman *et al.*, 2017).

These findings emphasize the need for further empirical research to understand how rehearsal, including BWC review, influences the content and accuracy of an officer's long-term accounts of a critical incident. Given that litigation can span years, it is important that we clarify: (1) how rehearsal can preserve long-term memories; (2) the timeframe surrounding memory decay for stressful events and (2) how long-term and repeated exposure to occupational stress (and not just critical incidents) influences retrieval. Research on discrepant officer reports and BWC footage could illuminate additional perceptual distortions during critical incidents (Klinger and Brunson, 2009). Investigation of possible RIF of event details not rehearsed in reports or BWC review (i.e. obstructed/uncaptured information, internal perceptions, emotions, arousal) could reveal suppression of these memories in favour of reinforcing observable "practiced" material that better supports the narrative captured by BWCs (see Grady *et al.*, 2016).

Mechanisms that hinder and promote memory retrieval

Ability: Morgan *et al.* (2007) show a positive relationship between military officers' performance on a standardized facial recognition task and memory of their interrogator after a stressful POW exercise. Thus, inherent differences in cognitive ability including recognition memory may account for discrepant reports between officers and may be robust to the interfering effects of stress.

Consolidation period: The only study of memory for a real-life, non-simulated critical event found retention but eventual reductions in visual and auditory memories among police who responded to a mass casualty event and evaluated after 10 months, five and nine years (Karlsson and Christianson, 2006). Indeed, research provides some support for the notion that high-stress events might require longer consolidation periods, spanning several days after exposure and reinforced during deep-stage REM sleep (Labar and Phelps, 1998; Stickgold, 1999). These findings may account for discrepancies in immediate recall accuracy for high-and low-stress events (Morgan *et al.*, 2004).

Contamination: With respect to identifying an optimal time period between a stressful exposure and officer questioning, Hope (2016) suggests minimizing this duration among stressed and fatigued officers to reduce the potential for memory decay and contamination. Indeed, evidence suggests that sources of contamination have little influence on memory performance when information is recalled within hours of the initial event. Lewinski *et al.* (2016) concluded that a distractor scenario that occurred after a high-stress incident did not impact consolidation or recall accuracy of initial incident details. Yuille *et al.* (1994) conducted a relevant study that fell outside of our date restrictions, but present evidence of improved delayed (12 weeks) recall accuracy for stressful versus non-stressful simulations. The authors propose that long-term memories may be reinforced by rumination of high-stress experiences compared to more mundane ones. Thus, the impact of stress on all stages of memory processing might be further influenced by whether or not officers perceive an event as stressful, as the strength of physiological responses are proportional to the level of perceived threat (Thayer and Sternberg, 2006).

Evidence-based recommendations. Given the state-of-the-art concerning officer memory of stressful encounters, how do police agencies (and by extension courts) implement evidence-based practices surrounding post-incident evidence collection? Existing recommendations suggest collecting officer testimony after a "cooling off" period that can range from a few hours to overnight (IACP, 2005) to a minimum of 48 h (Remsberg, 2014). Support for delayed recall stems from the concept of *critical incident amnesia* (Grossman and Siddle, 1998), which stipulates that immediate recall will be less accurate and complete by induced stress responses that can persist for a day or more. However, research on eyewitness testimony suggests that delayed recall leads to poorer accuracy and fewer details (Dunning and Stern,

PIJPSM 1992) and immediate recall improves retention of studied information over time (see Eisenkraemer *et al.*, 2013).

Based on the current review, we offer several pragmatic policy recommendations for optimal memory encoding, consolidation and retrieval. While some may already be in practice, procedures should be standardized to promote accuracy of officer accounts.

- (1) Officers should immediately (or as soon as possible) record an independent account of internal (i.e. emotional, arousal) and external perceptions of what they saw, heard or did. Accounts can be detailed plain language notes or verbal recordings, and will help rehearse (i.e. consolidate) early memories for as much information as possible, including information excluded from BWC footage.
 - Independent accounts should be completed prior to reviewing BWC footage, which evidence suggests could inadvertently suppress consolidation and later recall of information initially perceived but not captured and rehearsed by BWC review.
- (2) Officer testimony should be obtained:
 - Immediately following completion of independent accounts, which supports increased consolidation and maintained accuracy of delayed recall for "rehearsed" information. However, timing of the interview might be best scaled to the magnitude of the officer's stress response, with early recall of less stressful events (e.g. where the officer assumed a secondary observational role) and later recall of high-stress events (e.g. active role officers that applied lethal force);
 - Within 24–48 h to allow recovery from severe event-related physiological stress responses among officers who experience severe post-incident physical, mental or emotional distress. This duration allows at least one night of REM sleep, optimally primes rehearsal-based memory consolidation, and access to legal, medical and/or mental health services as needed;
- (3) Investigations of critical incidents involving officers can bear significant implications for the officer's employment and livelihood. Therefore, post-incident interviews are undoubtedly a stressful experience whether the officer believes themselves to be at fault or not. The conditions under which officers are interviewed or questioned must minimize stress as much as possible to preserve recall accuracy, especially for officerinvolved shootings and lethal force encounters. Accordingly, individuals responsible for obtaining officer testimony should be accompanied and/or adequately trained by licenced mental health professionals, such as a psychiatrist, psychologist, counsellor or social worker (Saywitz *et al.*, 2019).
- (4) To mitigate stress induced by threat to one's job security, officers should be provided with access to legal representation, including a union representative during all questioning and testimony proceedings. If policies are already in place to provide officers with these resources, officers should be made well aware of how to access these and other resources, including mental health and medical support which may not be as readily and consistently available across jurisdictions.

Conclusions

Based on the literature identified in this state-of-the-art review, it is clear that stress influences memory encoding by way of increased physiological responses and directing attention to salient, target-oriented details relative to the periphery. Conducting thorough independent

post-incident accounts prior to viewing BWC footage, including rehearsal of internal, target and peripheral details, may facilitate more accurate consolidation and long-term recall of factors that better account for officer behaviour than what is captured by BWC. More research is required to identify a precise timeframe or "critical period" for consolidation (and possible contamination) of stressful incidents among law enforcement officers. Training that adaptively induces and educates officers about implicit stress physiology can improve cognitive performance, including memory of high-stress encounters. When experienced during high-threat encounters, stress responses impair retrieval of knowledge and skills that can promote performance in the moment. Accordingly, stressful interview conditions can compromise the accuracy of officer accounts, especially for events that bear significant legal and professional consequences. Therefore, post-incident questioning should minimize officer stress by allowing sufficient time to recover from physiological stress responses and adequately training individuals responsible for obtaining officer testimony.

References

- Alpert, G.P., Rivera, J. and Lott, L. (2012), "Working toward the truth in officer-involved shootings", *FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin*, Vol. 81 No. 5, pp. 1-7.
- Andersen, J.P. and Gustafsberg, H. (2016), "A training method to improve police use of force decision making: a randomized controlled trial", SAGE Open: Journal of Police Emergency Response, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 1-13, doi: 10.1177/2158244016638708.
- Andersen, J.P., Di Nota, P.M., Beston, B., Boychuk, E.C., Gustafsberg, H., Poplawski, S. and Arpaia, J. (2018), "Reducing lethal force errors by modulating police physiology", *Journal of Occupational* and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 60 No. 10, pp. 867-874, doi: 10.1097/JOM.000000000001401.
- Anderson, M.C., Bjork, E.L. and Bjork, R.A. (2000), "Retrieval-induced forgetting: evidence for a recallspecific mechanism", *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 522-530.
- Armstrong, J., Clare, J. and Plecas, D. (2014), "Monitoring the impact of scenario-based use-of-force simulations on police heart rate: evaluating the royal Canadian mounted police skills refresher program", Western Criminology Review, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 51-59.
- Beehr, T.A., Ivanitskaya, L., Glaser, K., Erofeev, D. and Canali, K. (2004), "Working in a violent environment: the accuracy of police officers' reports about shooting incidents", *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, Vol. 77 No. 2, pp. 217-235.
- Bertilsson, J., Niehorster, D.C., Fredriksson, P.J., Dahl, M., Granér, S., Fredriksson, O. and Nyström, M. (2019), "Stress levels escalate when repeatedly performing tasks involving threats", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 10, p. 1562, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01562.
- Brewin, C.R. (2003), "Trauma, memory and the brain", Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: Malady or Myth, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Cahill, L. and Alkire, M.T. (2003), "Epinephrine enhancement of human memory consolidation: interaction with arousal at encoding", *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, Vol. 79 No. 2, pp. 194-198.
- Cahill, L. and McGaugh, J.L. (1998), "Mechanisms of emotional arousal and lasting declarative memory", *Trends in Neurosciences*, Vol. 21 No. 7, pp. 294-299.
- Carleton, R.N., Afifi, T.O., Taillieu, T., Turner, S., Mason, J.E., Ricciardelli, R. and Donnelly, E.A. (2020), "Assessing the relative impact of diverse stressors among public safety personnel", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol. 17 No. 4, pp. 1234-1259, doi: 10.3390/ijerph17041234.
- Chrousos, G.P. (2009), "Stress and disorders of the stress system", Nature Reviews Endocrinology, Vol. 5 No. 7, pp. 374-381, doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2009.106.
- Dawes, D., Heegaard, W., Brave, M., Paetow, G., Weston, B. and Ho, J. (2015), "Body-worn cameras improve law enforcement officer report writing accuracy", *The Journal of Law Enforcement*, Vol. 4 No. 6, pp. 1-24.

- de Quervain, D.J., Roozendaal, B. and McGaugh, J.L. (1998), "Stress and glucocorticoids impair retrieval of long-term spatial memory", *Nature*, Vol. 394 No. 6695, pp. 787-790, doi: 10. 1038/29542.
 - de Quervain, D.J., Roozendaal, B., Nitsch, R.M., McGaugh, J.L. and Hock, C. (2000), "Acute cortisone administration impairs retrieval of long-term declarative memory in humans", *Nature Neuroscience*, Vol. 3, pp. 313-314, doi: 10.1038/73873.
 - Di Nota, P.M. and Huhta, J.M. (2019), "Complex motor learning and police training: applied, cognitive, and clinical perspectives", *Frontiers in Psychology*, Vol. 10 No. 1797, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg. 2019.01797.
 - Dunning, D. and Stern, L.B. (1992), "Examining the generality of eye-witness hypermnesia: a close look at time delay and question type", *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 6, pp. 643-657.
 - Eisenkraemer, R.E., Jaeger, A. and Stein, L.M. (2013), "A systematic review of the testing effect in learning", *Paideia*, Vol. 23 No. 56, pp. 397-406, doi: 10.1590/1982-43272356201314.
 - Ericsson, K.A. (1998), "The scientific study of expert levels of performance: general implications for optimal learning and creativity", *High Ability Studies*, Vol. 9 No. 1, pp. 75-100, doi: 10.1080/ 1359813980090106.
 - Genzel, L., Spoormaker, V.I., Konrad, B.N. and Dresler, M. (2015), "The role of rapid eye movement sleep for amygdala-related memory processing", *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, Vol. 122, pp. 110-121, doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2015.01.008.
 - Grady, R.H., Butler, B.J. and Loftus, E.F. (2016), "What should happen after an officer- involved shooting? Memory concerns in police reporting procedures", *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 246-251, doi: 10.1016/j.jarmac.2016.07.002.
 - Grossman, D. and Siddle, B.K. (1998), Critical Incident Amnesia: The Physiological Basis and the Implications of Memory Loss during Extreme Survival Stress Situations, PPCT Management Systems, Milstadt, IL.
 - Gutshall, C.L., Hampton, D.P. Jr, Sebetan, I.M., Stein, P.C. and Broxtermann, T.J. (2017), "The effects of occupational stress on cognitive performance in police officers", *Police Practice and Research*, Vol. 18 No. 5, pp. 463-477, doi: 10.1080/15614263.2017.1288120.
 - Hartman, M., O'Neill, D.A., O'Neill, J. and Lewinski, W. (2017), "Law enforcement memory of stressful events: recall accuracy as a function of detail type", *Law Enforcement Executive Forum*, Vol. 17 No. 3, pp. 21-41, doi: 10.19151/LEEF.2017.1703c.
 - Hope, L. (2016), "Evaluating the effects of stress and fatigue on police officer response and recall: a challenge for research, training, practice and policy", *Journal of Applied Research in Memory* and Cognition, Vol. 5, pp. 239-245, doi: 10.1016/j.jarmac.2016.07.008.
 - Hope, L., Lewinski, W., Dixon, J., Blocksidge, D. and Gabbert, F. (2012), "Witnesses in action: the effect of physical exertion on recall and recognition", *Psychological Science*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 386-390.
 - Hope, L., Gabbert, F. and Fraser, J. (2013), "Postincident conferring by law enforcement officers: determining the impact of team discussions on statement content, accuracy, and officer beliefs", *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 37 No. 2, pp. 117-127, doi: 10.1037/lbb0000019.
 - Hope, L., Blocksidge, D., Gabbert, F., Sauer, J.D., Lewinski, W., Mirashi, A. and Atuk, E. (2016), "Memory and the operational witness: police officer recall of firearms encounters as a function of active response role", *Law and Human Behavior*, Vol. 40 No. 1, pp. 23-35, doi: 10.1037/ lhb0000159.
 - Heusler, B. and Sutter, C. (2020), "Gaze control and training for high-stress situations in law enforcement: a systematic review", *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp. 401-413.
 - Hulse, L.M. and Memon, A. (2006), "Fatal impact? The effects of emotional arousal and weapon presence on police officers' memories for a simulated crime", *Legal and Criminological Psychology*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 313-325.

PIJPSM

- International Association of Chiefs of Police (2005), "Guidelines for police psychological service", *Police Chief*, Vol. 72 No. 9, pp. 68-86.
- Jones, K.A., Crozier, W.E. and Strange, D. (2018), "Objectivity is a myth for you but not for me or police. A bias blind spot for viewing and remembering criminal events", *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 259-270, doi: 10.1037/law0000168.
- Karlsson, I. and Christianson, S.Å. (2006), "Police officers involved in a manhunt of a mass murder", Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies and Management, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp. 524-540.
- Klinger, D. and Brunson, R. (2009), "Police officers' perceptual distortions during lethal force situations: informing the reasonableness standard", *Criminology and Public Policy*, Vol. 8, pp. 117-140.
- Koopman, C., Classen, C. and Spiegel, D. (1994), "Predictors of post traumatic stress symptoms among survivors of the Oakland/Berkeley California, firestorm", *American Journal of Psychiatry*, Vol. 151, pp. 888-894, doi: 10.1176/ajp.151.6.888.
- Labar, K.S. and Phelps, E.A. (1998), "Arousal-mediated memory consolidation: role of the medial temporal lobe in humans", *Psychological Science*, Vol. 9, pp. 490-494, doi: 10.1111/1467-9280.00090.
- LeDoux, J.E. and Pine, D.S. (2016), "Using neuroscience to help understand fear and anxiety: a twosystem framework", American Journal of Psychiatry, Vol. 173, pp. 1083-93.
- Lewinski, W.J., Dysterheft, J.L., Priem, M.M. and Pettitt, R.W. (2016), "Police officers' actual vs recalled path of travel in response to a threatening traffic stop scenario", *Police Practice and Research*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 51-67, doi: 10.1080/15614263.2014.959950.
- Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P.C., Ioannidis, J.P., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P.J., Kleijnen, J. and Moher, D. (2009), "The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration", *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, Vol. 62 No. 10, pp. e1-e34.
- Loftus, E.F. (2005), "Planting misinformation in the human mind: a 30-year investigation of the malleability of memory", *Learning and Memory*, Vol. 12 No. 4, pp. 361-366.
- Maia, T.V. (2009), "Reinforcement learning, conditioning, and the brain: successes and challenges", *Cognitive, Affective, and Behavioral Neuroscience*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 343-364, doi: 10.3758/CABN.9. 4.343.
- McClure, K.A., McGuire, K.L. and Loftus, E.F. (2020), "Officers' memory and stress in virtual lethal force scenarios: implications for policy and training", *Psychology, Crime and Law*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 248-266.
- McCraty, R. and Atkinson, M. (2012), "Resilience training program reduces physiological and psychological stress in police officers", *Global advances in health and medicine*, Vol. 1 No. 5, pp. 44-66.
- McGaugh, J.L. (2000), "Memory a century of consolidation", Science, Vol. 287, pp. 248-251.
- Meyerhoff, J.L., Norris, W., Saviolakis, G.A., Wollert, T., Burge, B., Atkins, V. and Spielberger, C. (2004), "Evaluating performance of law enforcement personnel during a stressful training scenario", *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 1032 No. 1, pp. 250-253.
- Morgan, C.A. III, Hazlett, G., Doran, A., Garrett, S., Hoyt, G., Thomas, P., Baranoski, M. and Southwick, S.M. (2004), "Accuracy of eyewitness memory for persons encountered during exposure to highly intense stress", *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, Vol. 27 No. 3, pp. 265-279, doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2004.03.004.
- Morgan, C.A. III, Doran, A., Steffian, G., Hazlett, G. and Southwick, S.M. (2006), "Stress-induced deficits in working memory and visuo-constructive abilities in special operations soldiers", *Biological Psychiatry*, Vol. 60, pp. 722-729, doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.021.
- Morgan, C.A. III, Hazlett, G., Baranoski, M., Doran, A., Southwick, S. and Loftus, E. (2007), "Accuracy of eyewitness identification is significantly associated with performance on a standardized test of face recognition", *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, Vol. 30 No. 3, pp. 213-223.

- Ness, D. and Calabrese, P. (2016), "Stress effects on multiple memory system interactions", *Neural Plasticity*, Vol. 2016, pp. 1-20, doi: 10.115/2016/4932128.
- Nieuwenhuys, A. and Oudejans, R.R. (2011), "Training with anxiety: short-and long-term effects on police officers' shooting behavior under pressure", *Cognitive Processing*, Vol. 12 No. 3, pp. 277-288, doi: 10.1007/s10339-011-0396-x.
- Page, J.W., Asken, M.J., Zwemer, C.F. and Guido, M. (2016), "Brief mental skills training improves memory and performance in high stress police cadet training", *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*, Vol. 31 No. 2, pp. 122-126.
- Payne, J.D., Schacter, D.L., Propper, R.E., Huang, L.W., Wamsley, E.J., Tucker, M.A., Walker, M.P. and Stickgold, R. (2009), "The role of sleep in false memory formation", *Neurobiology of Learning* and Memory, Vol. 92, pp. 327-334.
- Porter, L.E., Ready, J. and Alpert, G.P. (2018), "Officer-involved shootings: testing the effect of question timing on memory accuracy for stressful events", *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, Vol. 15 No. 1, pp. 1-28, doi: 10.1007/s11292-018-9348-0.
- Remsberg, C. (2014), "Force science Institute details reasons for delaying interviews with OIS survivors", available at: https://www.forcescience.org/2014/05/force-science-institute-detailsreasons-for-delaying-interviews-with-ois-survivors/ (accessed 08 June 2020).
- Roos, L.E., Knight, E.L., Beauchamp, K.G., Berkman, E.T., Faraday, K., Hyslop, K. and Fisher, P.A. (2017), "Acute stress impairs inhibitory control based on individual differences in parasympathetic nervous system activity", *Biological Psychology*, Vol. 125, pp. 58-63, doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2017.03.004.
- Saywitz, K.J., Wells, C.R., Larson, R.P. and Hobbs, S.D. (2019), "Effects of interviewer support on children's memory and suggestibility: systematic review and meta-analyses of experimental research", *Trauma, Violence, and Abuse*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 22-39.
- Schwabe, L. and Wolf, O.T. (2013), "Stress and multiple memory systems: from 'thinking' to 'doing'", *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 60-68, doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.12.001.
- Song, S., Miller, K.D. and Abbott, L.F. (2000), "Competitive Hebbian learning through spike- timingdependent synaptic plasticity", *Nature Neuroscience*, Vol. 3 No. 9, pp. 919-926.
- Stanny, C.J. and Johnson, T.C. (2000), "Effects of stress induced by a simulated shooting on recall by police and citizen witnesses", *American Journal of Psychology*, Vol. 113 No. 3, pp. 359-386.
- Stickgold, R. (1999), "Sleep: off-line memory reprocessing", Trends in Cognitive Sciences, Vol. 2, pp. 484-492.
- Taverniers, J., Van Ruysseveldt, J., Smeets, T. and von Grumbkow, J. (2010), "High-intensity stress elicits robust cortisol increases, and impairs working memory and visuo-spatial declarative memory in Special Forces candidates: a field experiment", *Stress: The International Journal on the Biology of Stress*, Vol. 13 No. 4, pp. 324-334.
- Taverniers, J., Taylor, M.K. and Sweets, T. (2013), "Delayed memory effects after intense stress in Special Forces candidates: exploring path processes between cortisol secretion and memory recall", *Stress: The International Journal on the Biology of Stress*, Vol. 16 No. 3, pp. 311-320, doi: 10.3109/10253890.2012.721824.
- Thayer, J.F. and Sternberg, E. (2006), "Beyond heart rate variability. Vagal regulation of allostatic systems", Annals of the National Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1088, pp. 361-372, doi: 10.1196/ annals.1366.014.
- Unsworth, N. and Engle, R.W. (2007), "The nature of individual differences in working memory capacity: active maintenance in primary memory and controlled search from secondary memory", *Psychological Review*, Vol. 114, pp. 104-132.
- van Golde, C., Dilevski, N., Deck, S.L., Cullen, H.J. and Paterson, H.M. (2018), "One statement at a time: how memory research can facilitate prosecution of domestic and family violence", *Police Science*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 12-17.
- Yerkes, R.M. and Dodson, J.D. (1908), "The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habitformation", *Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology*, Vol. 18, pp. 459-482.

Yuille, J.C., Davies, G., Gibling, F., Marxsen, D. and Porter, S. (1994), "Eyewitness memory of police trainees for realistic role plays", *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 79 No. 6, pp. 931-936, doi: 10. 1037/0021-9010.79.6.931.

Zhu, B., Chen, C., Loftus, E.F., He, Q., Chen, C., Lei, X. and Dong, Q. (2012), "Brief exposure to misinformation can lead to long-term false memories", *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, Vol. 26, pp. 301-307, doi: 10.1002/acp.1825.

About the authors

Dr. Paula M. Di Nota is a Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the University of Toronto Mississauga (Psychology) and was a Research Faculty member at the Justice Institute of British Columbia (Office of Applied Research and Graduate Studies) at the time of this work. Dr. Di Nota's dissertation work investigated learning-induced changes to brain activity using behavioural, fMRI and EEG measures, and is currently investigating the neurophysiological mechanisms underlying occupational stress and training in police populations.

Dr. Bryce E. Stoliker is a Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of Saskatchewan's Centre for Forensic Behavioural Science and Justice Studies. At the time of this work, Dr. Stoliker was a researcher at the Justice Institute of British Columbia (Office of Applied Research and Graduate Studies). Dr. Stoliker's research aims to incorporate both psychological and sociological perspectives to improve insight into various topics and issues concerning the criminal justice system, including the role of mental health in policing.

Dr. Adam D. Vaughan studies the various intersections of health and the criminal justice system. He is currently an Assistant Professor at Texas State University an Adjunct Professor with the Faculty of Health Sciences at Simon Fraser University, and a Research Associate at the Justice Institute of British Columbia.

Dr. Judith P. Andersen, an Associate Professor of Psychology at the University Toronto Mississauga, is a health psychologist. Prof. Andersen specializes in the psychophysiology of stress. Her research aims to improve health and occupational performance among first responders.

Dr. Gregory S. Anderson studies adaptive and maladaptive stress responses in public safety and athletic populations. While he is presently the Dean of the Faculty of Science at Thompson Rivers University, he was the Dean, Office of Applied Research and Graduate Studies at the Justice Institute of British Columbia when the project was conceived and during the time of the systematic review. Gregory S. Anderson is the corresponding author and can be contacted at: ganderson@tru.ca

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website: www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com